

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
21 June 2001 (21.06.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 01/43635 A1

(51) International Patent Classification: **A61B 5/04**

(21) International Application Number: **PCT/US00/34235**

(22) International Filing Date:
14 December 2000 (14.12.2000)

(25) Filing Language: **English**

(26) Publication Language: **English**

(30) Priority Data:
60/170,861 14 December 1999 (14.12.1999) **US**

(63) Related by continuation (CON) or continuation-in-part (CIP) to earlier application:
US 60/170,861 (CON)
Filed on 14 December 1999 (14.12.1999)

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY [US/US];**
1200 East California Boulevard, Mail Code 201-85,
Pasadena, CA 91125 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **PARTHA, Mitra**

[US/US]; 30 B Elm Street, Summit, NJ (US). **BIJAN, Pesaran** [GB/US]; 850 N. Hayworth Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90046 (US). **ANDERSON, Richard, A.** [US/US]; 1216 Flintridge Circle, La Canada, CA 91011 (US).

(74) Agent: **HARRIS, Scott, C.**; Fish & Richardson P.C., Suite 500, 4350 La Jolla Village Drive, San Diego, CA 92122 (US).

(81) Designated States (national): **AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.**


(84) Designated States (regional): **ARIPO** patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), **Eurasian** patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), **European** patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), **OAPI** patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— With international search report.

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: **NEURAL PROSTHETIC USING TEMPORAL STRUCTURE IN THE LOCAL FIELD POTENTIAL**



(57) Abstract: Neural prosthetic using temporal structure in the local field potential is disclosed. In one embodiment, neural activity of a subject is measured with an implant (804) in the sensory motor cortex (802) of the subject and is used to predict an intended movement. The measured neural activity may be the local field potential (LFP) at an electrode or single unit (SU) activity. The spectral structure of the LFP and SU activity may be estimated using spectral analysis techniques. The estimated LFP and SU responses may be used to predict an intended movement by the subject.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

WO 01/43635 A1



For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

NEURAL PROSTHETIC USING TEMPORAL STRUCTURE IN THE LOCAL FIELD POTENTIAL

This application claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application Serial No. 60/170,861, filed on
5 December 14, 2000, which is herein incorporated by reference.

BACKGROUND

Many limb prostheses operate in response to muscle contractions performed by the user. Some prostheses are
10 purely mechanical systems. For example, a type of lower limb prosthesis operates in response to the motion of the residual limb. When the user's thigh moves forward, inertia opens the knee joint of the prosthesis, an artificial shin swings forward, and, when the entire
15 structure locks, the user may pass his or her weight over the artificial leg. Other prostheses may incorporate electric sensors to measure muscle activity and use the measured signals to operate the prosthesis.

Such prostheses may provide only crude control to
20 users that have control over some remaining limb musculature, and hence may not be useful for patients with spinal damage. For these patients, it may be

desirable to measure precursor signals coded for limb movement in the patient's brain, and then decode these signals to determine the intended movement and/or target. The decoded signals could be used to operate a pointing
5 device on a computer display screen or robotic prosthesis.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is a graph illustrating spatial tuning of a neuron in a test subject.

10 Figures 2A and 2B are graphs of waveforms measured by an electrode in response to an impending movement.

Figure 3A is a plot showing the spectral structure of single unit (SU) activity.

Figure 3B is a spectrogram of the spectral structure
15 shown in Figure 3A.

Figure 4 is a two-dimensional plot showing the time-frequency coherence between SU and local field potential (LFP) spectra.

Figures 5A and 5B are plots showing the dynamics of
20 70 Hz power in SU and LFP activity.

Figures 6A and 6B are plots showing tuning of 70 Hz power in LFP and SU activity.

Figures 7A and 7B are plots showing dynamics of LFP power at 70 Hz and 20 Hz.

Figure 8 is a schematic diagram of a system according to an embodiment.

Figure 9 illustrates perspective view of an implant according to an embodiment.

5 Figure 10 is a plan view of a subject brain illustrating implant sites according to an embodiment.

Figures 11A and 11B are spectrographs showing the spectral structure of LFP signals recorded in a preferred and an anti-preferred direction, respectively.

10 Figure 12 is a plot showing a direction decode.

Figure 13 is a bar graph showing a decode of planning versus execution periods.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

An electrode may be implanted into the cortex of a
15 subject and used to measure the signals produced by the firing of a single unit (SU), i.e., a neuron, in the vicinity of an electrode. The SU signal may contain a high frequency component. This component may contain spikes - distinct events that exceed a threshold value
20 for a certain amount of time, e.g., a millisecond. Spikes may be extracted from the signal and sorted using known spike sorting methods.

Attempts have been made to use the spike trains measured from particular neurons to predict a subject's

intended movements. The predicted intention could then be used to control a prosthetic device. However measuring a spike train with a chronic implant and decoding an intended movement in real time may be
5 complicated by several factors.

In general, measuring SU activity with a chronic implant may be difficult because the SU signal may be difficult to isolate. An electrode may be in the vicinity of more than one neuron, and measuring the
10 activity of a target neuron may be affected by the activity of an adjacent neuron(s). The implant may shift position in the patient's cortex after implantation, thereby changing the proximity of an electrode to recorded neurons over time. Also, the sensitivity of a
15 chronically implanted electrode to SU activity may degrade over time.

Local field potential (LFP) is an extracellular measurement that represents the aggregate activity of a population of neurons. The LFP measured at an implanted
20 electrode during the preparation and execution of a task has been found to have a temporal structure that is approximately localized in time and space. Information provided by the temporal structure of the LFP of neural activity appears to correlate to that provided by SU

activity, and hence may be used to predict a subject's intentions. Unlike SU activity, measuring LFP activity does not require isolating the activity of a single unit. Accordingly, it may be advantageous to use LFP activity
5 instead of, or in conjunction with SU activity to predict a subject's intended movement in real time.

Temporal structure is a general term that describes patterns in activity over time. Temporal structure localized in both time and frequency involves events that
10 repeat approximately with a period, T , during a time interval, after which the period may change. For example, the period may get larger, in which case the frequency could get smaller. However, for the temporal structure to remain localized in frequency as it changes
15 in time, large changes in the frequency of events cannot occur over short intervals in time.

The relationship between LFP and SU activity in the lateral intraparietal (LIP) cortex, responsible for eye movement, was studied in an experiment. Neural activity
20 in LIP was recorded in two adult male Rhesus monkeys while performing a memory-saccade task. The neural activity was recorded using single tetrodes.

Each trial of the memory-saccade task began with illuminating a central fixation light to which the animal

saccaded. The animal was required to maintain fixation within a 2° circular window while the fixation light was present. After a period of one to two seconds, a target light was flashed for 100 milliseconds at one of eight
5 fixed stimulus locations evenly distributed on a 10° circle. Following the target flash, the monkey had to remain fixated for a period of one second, at the end of which the fixation light was extinguished and the animal was required to saccade to the remembered location of the
10 flashed stimulus. For accurate saccades, the target was re-illuminated for a minimum of 500 milliseconds, often triggering a corrective saccade, and the animal was required to fixate at the new location while the target light remained on. Target locations were randomly
15 interleaved to collect between ten to fifteen successful trials for each location in blocked fashion.

The response of a neuron in the movement planning areas of the cortex, for example, the LIP, may be "tuned" to a particular direction, that is, the neuron may fire
20 at a higher rate when a movement is planned in a preferred direction than in other directions. For example, Figure 1 illustrates the firing frequency response 100 of a neuron in the parietal reach region (PRR) of a monkey over a 360 degrees of reach directions.

The PRR is responsible for the high level planning of reaches. This neuron fires at a maximum rate of about 100 Hz when the monkey plans a reach in the preferred direction 102. The direction opposite the preferred
5 direction is referred to as the anti-preferred direction 104.

Recorded neural signals were amplified and filtered before being digitized. Digital data was then streamed to a disk. Waveforms such as that shown in Figure 2A
10 were recorded during memory saccade tasks. Figure 2B shows a portion 202 of the waveform 200 of Figure 2A on an expanded time base.

SU activity, i.e., spike trains, and the LFP were calculated from the recorded neural activity. Both the
15 SU and LFP data were found to have temporal structure that exhibited directional tuning. Furthermore, coherency between SU and LFP activity was found to be highly significant in a gamma band (25-90 Hz) of the SU and LFP activity. Also, single units exhibited phase
20 locking to the LFP and preferentially fired at the peak of an LFP oscillation throughout the memory period.

Figure 3A shows individual spectra of SU activity in the preferred direction for a single cell from one monkey. Figure 3A illustrates the spectrum of SU

activity during the memory period 300 and baseline period 302 from a typical SU. The high frequency limit of the spectrum in each case is shown by dotted line 303.

Ninety-five percent error bars are shown by thin dotted
5 lines 304-307.

The spectrum of a Poisson process with the same firing rate would fall on the high-frequency limit line 303. Therefore deviations of the spectrum from this line are evidence of temporal structure.

10 Two significant features are present in the memory period spectrum that are absent from the baseline period. This indicates the presence of temporal structure during working memory. The first significant feature is a suppression in power at frequencies below 20 Hz. The
15 second is a peak in power at a higher frequency band, in this case centered at 50 Hz.

Figure 3B shows a spectrogram 300 for the same unit shown in Figure 3A. The spectrogram 300 presents the spectrum as a function of time. As shown in Figure 3A,
20 the spectral structure is sustained throughout the memory period, beginning at the initial target illumination and continuing though the saccade.

Figure 4 is a spectrograph 400 that shows the coherency between a single cell and the simultaneously

recorded LFP averaged across all trials to the preferred direction. A sharp increase in the coherence between SU activity and the LFP can be seen at 70 ± 20 Hz that exceeds 99% confidence intervals. This increase is sustained
5 through the memory period. When the coherence is significant the phase of the coherency is also well-organized in this band and has a value of zero radians. This is evidence for phase-locking between the SU and the LFP during working memory that is sustained throughout
10 the period. In particular, the phase of the coherency indicates that during working memory, the SU fires at the peak of broad-band 25-90 Hz LFP activity. In a given window, the phase of the coherency is relatively constant across frequency indicating that SU and gamma band
15 activity are synchronous with no time lag between them.

Since the power in each process is explicitly normalized, the increase in coherence during the memory period is not related to power increases in either process. Instead, it is a result of an increase in the
20 predictability of one process given the other. This indicates that predicting when the single unit will fire from LFP activity may be more accurate during working memory than during simple fixation.

Comparing the power in SU and LFP activity at a particular frequency band over time and across trial conditions reveals similar organization during the task. Figures 5A and 5B show the dynamics of power of neuronal activity in the 70 ± 20 Hz frequency band. Figure 5A illustrates the LFP 500 and SU 502 gamma band activity from a single cell, and Figure 5B illustrates the population average of LFP 504 and SU 506 activity in one monkey.

Figures 6A and 6B compare LFP and SU activity in the 70 ± 10 Hz band in the preferred direction during the task. The baseline 600, memory 602, and perisaccade 604 activity is shown against saccade direction. The preferred direction is aligned toward the center of the plot in each case. Elevations in SU activity at 70 ± 10 Hz are mirrored in the LFP throughout the task, and both show strong directional tuning.

LFP activity between a frequency band 700 at 70 ± 10 Hz and a frequency band 702 at 20 ± 5 Hz for trials with saccades to the preferred directions are compared for a single site and a population average in Figures 7A and 7B, respectively. Activity at 70 Hz is elevated from the initial target onset through the saccade. Activity at 20 Hz rises toward the end of the memory period and is

suppressed peri-saccadically. The elevated 70 Hz activity may be related to movement planning. The suppression in 20 Hz activity peri-saccadically may be related to movement execution. The rise in 20 Hz activity toward the end of the memory period may reflect preparatory aspects of the task and the decrease at the end of the memory period may reflect a "go" signal that indicates a decision to execute the planned movement.

Figure 8 illustrates a system 800 that uses LFP activity to predict a subject's intended movement plan. The activity of neurons in the subject's brain 802 may be recorded with an implant 804, such as that shown in Figure 9. The implant 804 may include an array of electrodes 900 that measure the action potential (SU) and extracellular potential (LFP) of cells in their vicinity. In alternate embodiments, the neural activity may be measured in forms other than electrical activity. These include, for example, optical or chemical changes, or changes in blood flow that may be measured by suitable measuring devices.

Figure 10 illustrates a number of exemplary implant sites. The implant 804 may be implanted at different sites for decoding different movements. For example, reaches are coded in the parietal reach region (PRR) and

area 5 of the superior parietal lobule 1005, saccades are encoded in the LIP 1000, head movements in the ventral intraparietal (VIP) cortex 1002, grasps in the anterior intraparietal (AIP) cortex 1004, and smooth pursuit eye
5 movements in the medial superior temporal (MST) cortex 1006. Reach and other body movements may be encoded in other areas of the sensory motor cortex. These areas may include, for example, frontal cortical areas involved in movement, such as the motor cortex, ventral and dorsal
10 premotor cortex, supplementary motor area, supplementary eye field, frontal eye field, prefrontal cortex, and pre-supplementary motor area.

The PRR 1008 may be a desirable site for a neural implant used to decode reaches. The PRR generates high-
15 level plans for reaching that may require fewer neurons to read out the overall goal of a planned movement than may be required in other areas. PRR neurons code the target of a reach in eye-centered coordinates rather than limb-centered coordinates. Also, the PRR neurons code
20 only the goal of the movement, and appear to code only the next planned movement in a sequential reach task.

The PRR may also undergo less reorganization after acute nerve damage. The removal of an arm or leg or a spinal cord injury may cause grand-scale functional

reorganization of cortical maps in both the somatosensory and motor cortices. Since the major input to the PRR appear to be derived from the visual system, which may remain intact in paralyzed patients, the PRR may undergo
5 less reorganization than the somatosensory and motor cortices in these patients.

Neural activity measured with the implant 804 may be amplified in one or more amplifier stages 806 and digitized by an analog-to-digital converter (ADC) 808.
10 In an embodiment, multiple implants may be used. Recordings may be made from multiple sites in a brain area, with each brain site carrying different information, e.g., different directions of planned movement. The signals recorded from different implants
15 may be conveyed on multiple channels.

The measured waveform(s), which may include frequencies in a range having a lower threshold of about 1 Hz and an upper threshold of from 5 kHz to 20 kHz may be filtered as an analog or digital signal into different
20 frequency ranges. For example, the waveform may be filtered into a low frequency range of say 1-20 Hz, a mid frequency range of say 15-200 Hz, which includes the beta (15-25 Hz) and gamma (25-90 Hz) frequency bands, and a

high frequency range of about 200 Hz to 1 kHz, which may include unsorted spike activity.

In an alternate embodiment, the digitized signal may also be input to a spike detector 816 which may detect
5 and sort spikes using known spike sorting operations.

The digitized LFP signal, and the sorted spike signal if applicable, may be input to a signal processor 810 for time-frequency localized analysis.

The digitized LFP and spike (SU) signals may be
10 represented as spectrograms. For example, Figures 11A and 11B illustrate spectrograms 1100, 1102 of LFP activity in a preferred and an anti-preferred direction obtained in an experiment, and demonstrate the directional tuning of the LFP activity. The spectrograms
15 may be estimated by estimating the spectrum for the data in a time window, translating the window a certain distance in time, and repeating. Although SU activity is a point process composed of discrete events in time (action potentials) in contrast to continuous processes
20 such as the LFP that consist of continuous voltage changes, both may be analyzed using similar methods.

The signal processor 810 may estimate the spectral structure of the digitized LFP and spike signals using multitaper methods. Multitaper methods for spectral

analysis provide minimum bias and variance estimates of spectral quantities, such as power spectrum, which is important when the time interval under consideration is short.

5 With multitaper methods, several uncorrelated estimates of the spectrum (or cross-spectrum) may be obtained from the same section of data by multiplying the data by each member of a set of orthogonal tapers. A variety of tapers may be used. Such tapers include, for
10 example, Parzen, Hamming, Hanning, Cosine, etc.

In an embodiment, the Slepian functions are used. The Slepian functions are a family of orthogonal tapers given by the prolate spheroidal functions. These functions are parameterized by their length in time, T ,
15 and their bandwidth in frequency, W . For choice of T and W , up to $K=2TW-1$ tapers are concentrated in frequency and are suitable for use in spectral estimation.

For an ordinary time series, x_t , $t=1, \dots, N$. The basic quantity for further analysis is the windowed Fourier
20 transform $\tilde{x}_k^{(x)}(f)$:

$$\tilde{x}_k^{(x)}(f) = \sum_1^N w_i(k) x_i \exp(-2\pi i f t)$$

where $w_i(k)$ ($k=1, 2, \dots, K$) are K orthogonal taper functions.

For the point process, consider a sequence of event times $\{\tau_j\}$, $j = 1, \dots, N$ in the interval $[0, T]$. The quantity for further analysis of point processes is also the windowed Fourier transform, denoted by $\tilde{x}_k^{(N)}(f)$:

$$5 \quad \tilde{x}_k^{(N)}(f) = \sum_{j=1}^N w_{\tau_j}(k) \exp(-2\pi i f \tau_j) - \frac{N(T)}{T} \tilde{w}_0(k)$$

where $w_0(k)$ is the Fourier transform of the data taper at zero frequency and $N(T)$ is the total number of spikes in the interval.

When averaging over trials we introduce an additional index, I , denoting trail number $\tilde{x}_{k,i}(f)$.

When dealing with either point or continuous process, the multitaper estimates for the spectrum $S_x(f)$, cross-spectrum $S_{yx}(f)$, and coherency $C_{yx}(f)$ may be given by:

$$15 \quad S_x(f) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K |\tilde{X}_k(f)|^2$$

$$S_{yx}(f) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K |\tilde{y}_k(f) \tilde{x}_k^*(f)|$$

$$C_{yx}(f) = \frac{S_{yx}(f)}{\sqrt{S_x(f) S_y(f)}}$$

The auto- and cross-correlation functions may be obtained by Fourier transforming the spectrum and cross-spectrum.

In an alternate embodiment the temporal structure of the LFP and SU spectral structures may be characterized using other spectral analysis methods. For example, filters may be combined into a filter bank to capture
5 temporal structures localized in different frequencies. As an alternative to the Fourier transform, a wavelet transform may be used to convert the data from the time domain into the wavelet domain. Different wavelets, corresponding to different tapers, may be used for the
10 spectral estimation. As an alternative to calculating the spectrum on a moving time window, nonstationary time-frequency methods may be used to estimate the energy of the signal for different frequencies at different times in one operation. Also, nonlinear techniques such as
15 artificial neural networks (ANN) techniques may be used to learn a solution for the spectral estimation.

The signal processor 810 may generate a feature vector train, for example, a time series of spectra of LFP, from the input signals. The feature vector train
20 may be input to a decoder 812 and operated on to predict the subject's intended movement, and from this information generate a high level control signal.

The decoder 812 may use different predictive models to predict the intended movement. These may include, for

example: probabilistic; Bayesian decode methods (such
those described in Zhang, K., Ginzburg, I., McNaughton,
B.L., and Sejnowski, T.J. (1998), Interpreting Neuronal
Population Activity by Reconstruction: Unified Framework
with Application to Hippocampal place cells. J
Neurophysiol 79:1017-1044); population vector models
(such as those described in Lukashin, A.V., Amirikian,
B.R., and Georgopoulos, A.P. (1996). A Simulated Actuator
Driven by Motor Cortical Signals. Neuroreport 7(15-
17):2597-2601); and artificial neural networks.

The decoder 812 may use a derived transformation
rule to map a measured neural signal, s , into an action,
 a , for example, a target. Statistical decision theory
may be used to derive the transformation rule. Factors
in the derivations may include the set of possible neural
signals, S , and the set of possible actions, A . The
neuro-motor transform, d , is a mapping for S to A . Other
factors in the derivation may include the intended target
 θ and a loss function which represents the risk
associated with taking an action, a , when the true
intention was θ . These variables may be stored in a
memory device, e.g., a database 814.

Two approaches may be used to derive the
transformation rule: A probabilistic approach, involving

the intermediate step of evaluating a probabilistic relation between s and θ and subsequent minimization of an expected loss to obtain a neuro-motor transformation; and a direct approach, involving direct construction of a neuro-motor transformation and minimizing the empirical loss evaluated over the training set. In terms of so called 'neural network' functions, the second approach may be regarded as defining a neural network with the neural signals as input and the target actions as output, the weights being adjusted based on training data. In both cases, a critical role is played by the loss function, which is in some sense arbitrary and reflects prior knowledge and biases of the investigator.

As described above, the measured waveform(s) may be filtered into a low frequency range of say 1-20 Hz, a mid frequency range of say 15-200 Hz, which includes the beta (15-25 Hz) and gamma (25-90 Hz) frequency bands, and a high frequency range of about 200 Hz to 1 kHz, which may include unsorted spike activity. The decoder 812 may decode an intended direction using the information in the gamma frequency band (25-90 Hz) of the LFP spectra and the SU spectra. The decoder 812 may decode logical signals using information in the gamma (25-90 Hz) and beta (15-25 Hz) frequency bands of the LFP spectra and

the SU spectra. The logical information may include a decision to execute an action, e.g., a "go" signal. The logical information may indicate that the subject is entering other states, such as cuing a location, preparing to execute an action, and scrubbing a planned action.

In an experiment, the decoder decoded a direction for a saccade and differentiated the planning and the execution periods of the saccade. Figure 12 is a polar plot of a direction decode 1200 (for one of eight directions) using the LFP at a single site in LIP. Saccade direction is shown on a circle, and the fraction correct 1202 is given by the radius of the point. The decode was based on Fisher's linear discriminant trained with ten trials to each saccade direction for a total of eighty trials. The feature space was three dimensional, given by the value of the spectrum estimated on a 500 millisecond window at frequencies in the gamma frequency band. The frequencies that gave the best decode performance were chosen. As shown in Figure 12, the LFP at a single site can predict saccades to the preferred direction 1106 and the anti-preferred direction 1208 for that site well, and all other directions better than chance 1208.

Figure 13 is a bar graph representing a decode 1200 of the planning versus execution periods of the trial using the LFP. The decoder had to determine whether the data came from the planning or execution period of the trial, regardless of saccade direction. The decoder was based on Fisher's linear discriminant trained with eighty trials from each of the two trial periods for a total of 160 samples. The feature space was four dimensional given by power in the beta and gamma frequency bands. As shown in Figure 13, the decoder predicted the period correctly in over 90% of the samples.

Once the decoder 812 maps the feature vectors from the signal processor 810 to an action, the decoder 812 may generate a high level signal indicative of the intended movement and transmit this signal to the device controller 820. The device controller 820 may use the signal to control the output device 822 to mimic the subject's intended movement. The output device may be, for example, a robotic limb, an animated limb or a pointing device on a display screen, or a functional electrical stimulation device implanted into the subject's muscles for direct stimulation and control.

The decoder 812 may need to be recalibrated over time. This may be due to inaccuracies in the initial

calibration, degradation of the implant to spike activity over time, and/or movement of the implant, among other reasons.

In an embodiment, the decoder 812 may use a feedback
5 controller 824 to monitor the response of the output device, compare it to the predicted intended movement, and recalibrate the decoder 812 accordingly. The feedback controller 824 may include a training program to update a loss function variable used by the decoder 812.

10 Some error may be corrected as the subject learns to compensate for the system response based on feedback provided by watching the response of the output device. The degree of correction due to this feedback response, and hence the amount of recalibration that must be
15 shouldered by the system 800, may depend in part on the degree of plasticity in the region of the brain where the implant 804 is positioned.

A number of embodiments have been described. Nevertheless, it will be understood that various
20 modifications may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. Accordingly, other embodiments are within the scope of the following claims.

CLAIMS:

1. A method comprising:
measuring a local field potential response from
neural activity in a subject; and
5 generating a signal indicative of an intended
movement in response to said measured local field
potential response.
2. The method of claim 1, further comprising:
cueing a subject to perform a plurality of
10 movements;
measuring a local field potential response in
response to each of said movements; and
storing the local field potential response
corresponding to each of said movements in a memory
15 device.
3. The method of claim 2, wherein generating the
signal comprises:
comparing the measured local field potential
response to a plurality of the stored local field
20 potential responses; and
determining the intended movement in response
to said comparison.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein the signal comprises an electric signal.

5. The method of claim 1, wherein the measuring is invasive.

5 6. The method of claim 1, wherein the local field potential response has a temporal structure approximately localized in time and frequency.

7. The method of claim 6, further comprising estimating the temporal structure of using spectral
10 analysis.

8. The method of claim 1, further comprising measuring a spike response having a temporal structure approximately localized in time and frequency, and wherein the signal is generated in response to the
15 measured local field potential response and the measured spike response.

9. The method of claim 1, wherein the intended movement comprises a reach.

10. The method of claim 9, wherein the reach
20 comprises a target.

11. The method of claim 9, wherein the reach comprises a trajectory.

12. The method of claim 9, wherein the intended movement comprises a grasp.

5 13. The method of claim 1, wherein the intended movement comprises a saccade.

14. The method of claim 1, wherein the intended movement comprises a head movement.

10 15. The method of claim 1, wherein the intended movement comprises a smooth pursuit eye movement.

16. The method of claim 1, wherein the intended movement comprises a leg movement.

17. The method of claim 1, wherein the intended movement comprises a go signal.

15 18. The method of claim 1, wherein the intended movement comprises a scrub signal.

19. The method of claim 1, wherein the neural activity comprises activity in the sensory motor cortex.

20. The method of claim 1, wherein the neural activity comprises activity in the parietal reach region.

21. The method of claim 1, wherein the neural activity comprises activity in the anterior intraparietal
5 cortex.

22. The method of claim 1, wherein the neural activity comprises activity in the lateral intraparietal cortex.

23. The method of claim 1, wherein the neural
10 activity comprises activity area 5 of the superior parietal lobule.

24. The method of claim 1, wherein the neural activity comprises activity in frontal cortical areas involved in movement, said area selected from the motor
15 cortex, the ventral and dorsal premotor cortex, the supplementary motor area, the supplementary eye field, the frontal eye field, the prefrontal cortex, and the pre-supplementary motor area.

25. The method of claim 1, wherein the neural
20 activity comprises activity in the ventral intraparietal cortex.

26. The method of claim 1, wherein the neural activity comprises activity in the medial superior temporal cortex.

27. The method of claim 1, further comprising
5 decoding a direction in a gamma frequency band of the local field potential response.

28. The method of claim 1, further comprising decoding a logical signal in at least one of a gamma frequency band and a beta frequency band of the local
10 field potential response.

29. The method of claim 28, wherein the logical signal comprises a "go" signal.

30. The method of claim 6, wherein the temporal structure is represented as a spectrogram.

15 31. An article comprising:

a machine-readable medium which stores machine-executable instructions, the instructions causing a machine to:

measure the local field potential response from
20 neural activity in a subject; and

generate a signal indicative of an intended movement in response to said measured local field potential response.

32. The article of claim 31, wherein the intended
5 movement comprises a reach.

33. An apparatus comprising:

an input device operative to receive a local field potential reading from a measuring device;

a signal processor coupled to the input device
10 and operative to generate a processed signal in response to analyzing a temporal structure of the local field potential reading; and

a decoder operative to generate a signal indicative of an intended movement in response to said
15 processed signal.

34. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the measuring device comprises an implant.

35. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the temporal structure is approximately localized in time and
20 frequency.

35. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the signal processor is operative to analyze the temporal structure using spectral analysis.

36. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the input
5 device is further operative to receive a single unit activity reading from the measuring device, and wherein the signal processor is operative to generate the measured response data structure in response to the local field potential reading and the single unit activity
10 reading.

37. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the generated signal comprises an electric signal.

38. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the intended movement comprises a reach.

15 39. The apparatus of claim 38, wherein the reach comprises a target.

40. The apparatus of claim 38, wherein the reach comprises a trajectory.

41. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the intended
20 movement comprises a saccade.

42. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the intended movement comprises a head movement.

43. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the intended movement comprises a smooth pursuit eye movement.

5 44. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the intended movement comprises a leg movement.

45. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the intended movement comprises a go signal.

46. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the intended
10 movement comprises a scrub signal.

47. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the decoder is operative to decode a direction from a gamma frequency band of the local field potential reading.

48. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the decoder
15 is operative to decode a logical signal from at least one of a gamma frequency band and a beta frequency band of the local field potential reading.

49. A method comprising:
measuring neural activity;

analyzing a time and frequency localized
representation of the neural activity; and
generating a signal indicative of an
intended movement in response to said analysis.

5 50. The method of claim 49, wherein the neural
activity comprises measuring a local field potential.

51. The method of claim 49, wherein the neural
activity comprises unsorted spiking activity.

52. The method of claim 49, wherein the neural
10 activity comprises sorted spiking activity.

53. The method of claim 49, wherein the
measuring comprises measuring an extracellular potential.





FIG. 1

2/12




3/12

3A


a)

Single Unit




3B

b)



4/12


FIG. 4




5/12

5

5A



5B



6/12

6A

6B




FIG. 7





FIG. 9



8/12




FIG. 8

9/12

Anatomical Map of Intentions in the Posterior Parietal Cortex




Figure 10

The local-field-potential gamma band oscillations are tuned to the directions of planned movement-




FIG 1/B

FIG 1/A

11/12




FIG. 12

12/12

LFP 'go' signal decode
at a single site in area LIP




FIG. 13
Planning Execution

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US00/34235

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(7) : A61B 5/04

US CL : 600/544

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 600/372, 373, 377, 378, 544-545, 547

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched
NONE

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)
NONE

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5,368,041 A (SHAMBROOM) 29 November 1994, see entire document.	1-53
A	US 5,365,939 A (OCHS) 22 November 1994, see entire document.	1-53

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T"

later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X"

document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y"

document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&"

document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

10 March 2001 (10.03.2001)

Date of mailing of the international search report

03 APR 2001

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

Ryan C. Carter

Telephone No. (703) 308-2990

CORRECTED VERSION

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
21 June 2001 (21.06.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 01/043635 A1

(51) International Patent Classification: A61B 5/04

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US00/34235

(22) International Filing Date:
14 December 2000 (14.12.2000)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/170,861 14 December 1999 (14.12.1999) US

(63) Related by continuation (CON) or continuation-in-part (CIP) to earlier application:
US 60/170,861 (CON)
Filed on 14 December 1999 (14.12.1999)

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY [US/US];
1200 East California Boulevard, Mail Code 201-85,
Pasadena, CA 91125 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): PARTHA, Mitra
[US/US]; 30 B Elm Street, Summit, NJ 07901 (US).

BIJAN, Pesaran [GB/US]; 850 N. Hayworth Avenue,
Los Angeles, CA 90046 (US). ANDERSON, Richard, A.
[US/US]; 1216 Flintridge Circle, La Canada, CA 91011
(US).

(74) Agent: HARRIS, Scott, C.; Fish & Richardson P.C., Suite
500, 4350 La Jolla Village Drive, San Diego, CA 92122
(US).

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ,
DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR,
HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR,
LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ,
NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM,
TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.


(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM,
KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian
patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European
patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE,
IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF,
CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: NEURAL PROSTHETIC USING TEMPORAL STRUCTURE IN THE LOCAL FIELD POTENTIAL



(57) Abstract: Neural prosthetic using temporal structure in the local field potential is disclosed. In one embodiment, neural activity of a subject is measured with an implant (804) in the sensory motor cortex (802) of the subject and is used to predict an intended movement. The measured neural activity may be the local field potential (LFP) at an electrode or single unit (SU) activity. The spectral structure of the LFP and SU activity may be estimated using spectral analysis techniques. The estimated LFP and SU responses may be used to predict an intended movement by the subject.

WO 01/043635 A1



(48) Date of publication of this corrected version:

25 July 2002

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(15) Information about Correction:

see PCT Gazette No. 30/2002 of 25 July 2002, Section II

NEURAL PROSTHETIC USING TEMPORAL STRUCTURE IN THE LOCAL FIELD POTENTIAL

This application claims priority to U.S. Provisional
Patent Application Serial No. 60/170,861, filed on
5 December 14, 2000, which is herein incorporated by
reference.

BACKGROUND

Many limb prostheses operate in response to muscle
contractions performed by the user. Some prostheses are
10 purely mechanical systems. For example, a type of lower
limb prosthesis operates in response to the motion of the
residual limb. When the user's thigh moves forward,
inertia opens the knee joint of the prosthesis, an
artificial shin swings forward, and, when the entire
15 structure locks, the user may pass his or her weight over
the artificial leg. Other prostheses may incorporate
electric sensors to measure muscle activity and use the
measured signals to operate the prosthesis.

Such prostheses may provide only crude control to
20 users that have control over some remaining limb
musculature, and hence may not be useful for patients
with spinal damage. For these patients, it may be

desirable to measure precursor signals coded for limb movement in the patient's brain, and then decode these signals to determine the intended movement and/or target. The decoded signals could be used to operate a pointing
5 device on a computer display screen or robotic prosthesis.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is a graph illustrating spatial tuning of a neuron in a test subject.

10 Figures 2A and 2B are graphs of waveforms measured by an electrode in response to an impending movement.

Figure 3A is a plot showing the spectral structure of single unit (SU) activity.

Figure 3B is a spectrogram of the spectral structure
15 shown in Figure 3A.

Figure 4 is a two-dimensional plot showing the time-frequency coherence between SU and local field potential (LFP) spectra.

Figures 5A and 5B are plots showing the dynamics of
20 70 Hz power in SU and LFP activity.

Figures 6A and 6B are plots showing tuning of 70 Hz power in LFP and SU activity.

Figures 7A and 7B are plots showing dynamics of LFP power at 70 Hz and 20 Hz.

Figure 8 is a schematic diagram of a system according to an embodiment.

Figure 9 illustrates perspective view of an implant according to an embodiment.

5 Figure 10 is a plan view of a subject brain illustrating implant sites according to an embodiment.

Figures 11A and 11B are spectrographs showing the spectral structure of LFP signals recorded in a preferred and an anti-preferred direction, respectively.

10 Figure 12 is a plot showing a direction decode.

Figure 13 is a bar graph showing a decode of planning versus execution periods.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

An electrode may be implanted into the cortex of a
15 subject and used to measure the signals produced by the firing of a single unit (SU), i.e., a neuron, in the vicinity of an electrode. The SU signal may contain a high frequency component. This component may contain spikes - distinct events that exceed a threshold value
20 for a certain amount of time, e.g., a millisecond. Spikes may be extracted from the signal and sorted using known spike sorting methods.

Attempts have been made to use the spike trains measured from particular neurons to predict a subject's

intended movements. The predicted intention could then be used to control a prosthetic device. However measuring a spike train with a chronic implant and decoding an intended movement in real time may be
5 complicated by several factors.

In general, measuring SU activity with a chronic implant may be difficult because the SU signal may be difficult to isolate. An electrode may be in the vicinity of more than one neuron, and measuring the
10 activity of a target neuron may be affected by the activity of an adjacent neuron(s). The implant may shift position in the patient's cortex after implantation, thereby changing the proximity of an electrode to recorded neurons over time. Also, the sensitivity of a
15 chronically implanted electrode to SU activity may degrade over time.

Local field potential (LFP) is an extracellular measurement that represents the aggregate activity of a population of neurons. The LFP measured at an implanted
20 electrode during the preparation and execution of a task has been found to have a temporal structure that is approximately localized in time and space. Information provided by the temporal structure of the LFP of neural activity appears to correlate to that provided by SU

activity, and hence may be used to predict a subject's intentions. Unlike SU activity, measuring LFP activity does not require isolating the activity of a single unit. Accordingly, it may be advantageous to use LFP activity
5 instead of, or in conjunction with SU activity to predict a subject's intended movement in real time.

Temporal structure is a general term that describes patterns in activity over time. Temporal structure localized in both time and frequency involves events that
10 repeat approximately with a period, T , during a time interval, after which the period may change. For example, the period may get larger, in which case the frequency could get smaller. However, for the temporal structure to remain localized in frequency as it changes
15 in time, large changes in the frequency of events cannot occur over short intervals in time.

The relationship between LFP and SU activity in the lateral intraparietal (LIP) cortex, responsible for eye movement, was studied in an experiment. Neural activity
20 in LIP was recorded in two adult male Rhesus monkeys while performing a memory-saccade task. The neural activity was recorded using single tetrodes.

Each trial of the memory-saccade task began with illuminating a central fixation light to which the animal

saccaded. The animal was required to maintain fixation within a 2° circular window while the fixation light was present. After a period of one to two seconds, a target light was flashed for 100 milliseconds at one of eight
5 fixed stimulus locations evenly distributed on a 10° circle. Following the target flash, the monkey had to remain fixated for a period of one second, at the end of which the fixation light was extinguished and the animal was required to saccade to the remembered location of the
10 flashed stimulus. For accurate saccades, the target was re-illuminated for a minimum of 500 milliseconds, often triggering a corrective saccade, and the animal was required to fixate at the new location while the target light remained on. Target locations were randomly
15 interleaved to collect between ten to fifteen successful trials for each location in blocked fashion.

The response of a neuron in the movement planning areas of the cortex, for example, the LIP, may be "tuned" to a particular direction, that is, the neuron may fire
20 at a higher rate when a movement is planned in a preferred direction than in other directions. For example, Figure 1 illustrates the firing frequency response 100 of a neuron in the parietal reach region (PRR) of a monkey over a 360 degrees of reach directions.

The PRR is responsible for the high level planning of reaches. This neuron fires at a maximum rate of about 100 Hz when the monkey plans a reach in the preferred direction 102. The direction opposite the preferred
5 direction is referred to as the anti-preferred direction 104.

Recorded neural signals were amplified and filtered before being digitized. Digital data was then streamed to a disk. Waveforms such as that shown in Figure 2A
10 were recorded during memory saccade tasks. Figure 2B shows a portion 202 of the waveform 200 of Figure 2A on an expanded time base.

SU activity, i.e., spike trains, and the LFP were calculated from the recorded neural activity. Both the
15 SU and LFP data were found to have temporal structure that exhibited directional tuning. Furthermore, coherency between SU and LFP activity was found to be highly significant in a gamma band (25-90 Hz) of the SU and LFP activity. Also, single units exhibited phase
20 locking to the LFP and preferentially fired at the peak of an LFP oscillation throughout the memory period.

Figure 3A shows individual spectra of SU activity in the preferred direction for a single cell from one monkey. Figure 3A illustrates the spectrum of SU

activity during the memory period 300 and baseline period 302 from a typical SU. The high frequency limit of the spectrum in each case is shown by dotted line 303.

Ninety-five percent error bars are shown by thin dotted lines 304-307.

The spectrum of a Poisson process with the same firing rate would fall on the high-frequency limit line 303. Therefore deviations of the spectrum from this line are evidence of temporal structure.

Two significant features are present in the memory period spectrum that are absent from the baseline period. This indicates the presence of temporal structure during working memory. The first significant feature is a suppression in power at frequencies below 20 Hz. The second is a peak in power at a higher frequency band, in this case centered at 50 Hz.

Figure 3B shows a spectrogram 300 for the same unit shown in Figure 3A. The spectrogram 300 presents the spectrum as a function of time. As shown in Figure 3A, the spectral structure is sustained throughout the memory period, beginning at the initial target illumination and continuing though the saccade.

Figure 4 is a spectrograph 400 that shows the coherency between a single cell and the simultaneously

recorded LFP averaged across all trials to the preferred direction. A sharp increase in the coherence between SU activity and the LFP can be seen at 70 ± 20 Hz that exceeds 99% confidence intervals. This increase is sustained
5 through the memory period. When the coherence is significant the phase of the coherency is also well-organized in this band and has a value of zero radians. This is evidence for phase-locking between the SU and the LFP during working memory that is sustained throughout
10 the period. In particular, the phase of the coherency indicates that during working memory, the SU fires at the peak of broad-band 25-90 Hz LFP activity. In a given window, the phase of the coherency is relatively constant across frequency indicating that SU and gamma band
15 activity are synchronous with no time lag between them.

Since the power in each process is explicitly normalized, the increase in coherence during the memory period is not related to power increases in either process. Instead, it is a result of an increase in the
20 predictability of one process given the other. This indicates that predicting when the single unit will fire from LFP activity may be more accurate during working memory than during simple fixation.

Comparing the power in SU and LFP activity at a particular frequency band over time and across trial conditions reveals similar organization during the task. Figures 5A and 5B show the dynamics of power of neuronal activity in the 70 ± 20 Hz frequency band. Figure 5A illustrates the LFP 500 and SU 502 gamma band activity from a single cell, and Figure 5B illustrates the population average of LFP 504 and SU 506 activity in one monkey.

Figures 6A and 6B compare LFP and SU activity in the 70 ± 10 Hz band in the preferred direction during the task. The baseline 600, memory 602, and perisaccade 604 activity is shown against saccade direction. The preferred direction is aligned toward the center of the plot in each case. Elevations in SU activity at 70 ± 10 Hz are mirrored in the LFP throughout the task, and both show strong directional tuning.

LFP activity between a frequency band 700 at 70 ± 10 Hz and a frequency band 702 at 20 ± 5 Hz for trials with saccades to the preferred directions are compared for a single site and a population average in Figures 7A and 7B, respectively. Activity at 70 Hz is elevated from the initial target onset through the saccade. Activity at 20 Hz rises toward the end of the memory period and is

suppressed peri-saccadically. The elevated 70 Hz activity may be related to movement planning. The suppression in 20 Hz activity peri-saccadically may be related to movement execution. The rise in 20 Hz activity toward the end of the memory period may reflect preparatory aspects of the task and the decrease at the end of the memory period may reflect a "go" signal that indicates a decision to execute the planned movement.

Figure 8 illustrates a system 800 that uses LFP activity to predict a subject's intended movement plan. The activity of neurons in the subject's brain 802 may be recorded with an implant 804, such as that shown in Figure 9. The implant 804 may include an array of electrodes 900 that measure the action potential (SU) and extracellular potential (LFP) of cells in their vicinity. In alternate embodiments, the neural activity may be measured in forms other than electrical activity. These include, for example, optical or chemical changes, or changes in blood flow that may be measured by suitable measuring devices.

Figure 10 illustrates a number of exemplary implant sites. The implant 804 may be implanted at different sites for decoding different movements. For example, reaches are coded in the parietal reach region (PRR) and

area 5 of the superior parietal lobule 1005, saccades are encoded in the LIP 1000, head movements in the ventral intraparietal (VIP) cortex 1002, grasps in the anterior intraparietal (AIP) cortex 1004, and smooth pursuit eye
5 movements in the medial superior temporal (MST) cortex 1006. Reach and other body movements may be encoded in other areas of the sensory motor cortex. These areas may include, for example, frontal cortical areas involved in movement, such as the motor cortex, ventral and dorsal
10 premotor cortex, supplementary motor area, supplementary eye field, frontal eye field, prefrontal cortex, and pre-supplementary motor area.

The PRR 1008 may be a desirable site for a neural implant used to decode reaches. The PRR generates high-
15 level plans for reaching that may require fewer neurons to read out the overall goal of a planned movement than may be required in other areas. PRR neurons code the target of a reach in eye-centered coordinates rather than limb-centered coordinates. Also, the PRR neurons code
20 only the goal of the movement, and appear to code only the next planned movement in a sequential reach task.

The PRR may also undergo less reorganization after acute nerve damage. The removal of an arm or leg or a spinal cord injury may cause grand-scale functional

reorganization of cortical maps in both the somatosensory and motor cortices. Since the major input to the PRR appear to be derived from the visual system, which may remain intact in paralyzed patients, the PRR may undergo
5 less reorganization than the somatosensory and motor cortices in these patients.

Neural activity measured with the implant 804 may be amplified in one or more amplifier stages 806 and digitized by an analog-to-digital converter (ADC) 808.
10 In an embodiment, multiple implants may be used. Recordings may be made from multiple sites in a brain area, with each brain site carrying different information, e.g., different directions of planned movement. The signals recorded from different implants
15 may be conveyed on multiple channels.

The measured waveform(s), which may include frequencies in a range having a lower threshold of about 1 Hz and an upper threshold of from 5 kHz to 20 kHz may be filtered as an analog or digital signal into different
20 frequency ranges. For example, the waveform may be filtered into a low frequency range of say 1-20 Hz, a mid frequency range of say 15-200 Hz, which includes the beta (15-25 Hz) and gamma (25-90 Hz) frequency bands, and a

high frequency range of about 200 Hz to 1 kHz, which may include unsorted spike activity.

In an alternate embodiment, the digitized signal may also be input to a spike detector 816 which may detect
5 and sort spikes using known spike sorting operations.

The digitized LFP signal, and the sorted spike signal if applicable, may be input to a signal processor 810 for time-frequency localized analysis.

The digitized LFP and spike (SU) signals may be
10 represented as spectrograms. For example, Figures 11A and 11B illustrate spectrograms 1100, 1102 of LFP activity in a preferred and an anti-preferred direction obtained in an experiment, and demonstrate the directional tuning of the LFP activity. The spectrograms
15 may be estimated by estimating the spectrum for the data in a time window, translating the window a certain distance in time, and repeating. Although SU activity is a point process composed of discrete events in time (action potentials) in contrast to continuous processes
20 such as the LFP that consist of continuous voltage changes, both may be analyzed using similar methods.

The signal processor 810 may estimate the spectral structure of the digitized LFP and spike signals using multitaper methods. Multitaper methods for spectral

analysis provide minimum bias and variance estimates of spectral quantities, such as power spectrum, which is important when the time interval under consideration is short.

5 With multitaper methods, several uncorrelated estimates of the spectrum (or cross-spectrum) may be obtained from the same section of data by multiplying the data by each member of a set of orthogonal tapers. A variety of tapers may be used. Such tapers include, for
10 example, Parzen, Hamming, Hanning, Cosine, etc.

In an embodiment, the Slepian functions are used. The Slepian functions are a family of orthogonal tapers given by the prolate spheroidal functions. These functions are parameterized by their length in time, T,
15 and their bandwidth in frequency, W. For choice of T and W, up to $K=2TW-1$ tapers are concentrated in frequency and are suitable for use in spectral estimation.

For an ordinary time series, x_t , $t=1, \dots, N$. The basic quantity for further analysis is the windowed Fourier
20 transform $\tilde{x}_k^{(x)}(f)$:

$$\tilde{x}_k^{(x)}(f) = \sum_1^N w_k(k) x_t \exp(-2\pi i f t)$$

where $w_k(k)$ ($k=1, 2, \dots, K$) are K orthogonal taper functions.

For the point process, consider a sequence of event times $\{\tau_j\}$, $j = 1, \dots, N$ in the interval $[0, T]$. The quantity for further analysis of point processes is also the windowed Fourier transform, denoted by $\tilde{x}_k^{(N)}(f)$:

$$\tilde{x}_k^{(N)}(f) = \sum_{j=1}^N w_{\tau_j}(k) \exp(-2\pi i f \tau_j) - \frac{N(T)}{T} \tilde{w}_0(k)$$

where $w_0(k)$ is the Fourier transform of the data taper at zero frequency and $N(T)$ is the total number of spikes in the interval.

When averaging over trials we introduce an additional index, I , denoting trail number $\tilde{x}_{k,i}(f)$.

When dealing with either point or continuous process, the multitaper estimates for the spectrum $S_x(f)$, cross-spectrum $S_{yx}(f)$, and coherency $C_{yx}(f)$ may be given by:

$$S_x(f) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K |\tilde{X}_k(f)|^2$$

$$S_{yx}(f) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K |\tilde{y}_k(f) \tilde{x}_k^*(f)|$$

$$C_{yx}(f) = \frac{S_{yx}(f)}{\sqrt{S_x(f) S_y(f)}}$$

The auto- and cross-correlation functions may be obtained by Fourier transforming the spectrum and cross-spectrum.

In an alternate embodiment the temporal structure of the LFP and SU spectral structures may be characterized using other spectral analysis methods. For example, filters may be combined into a filter bank to capture temporal structures localized in different frequencies. As an alternative to the Fourier transform, a wavelet transform may be used to convert the data from the time domain into the wavelet domain. Different wavelets, corresponding to different tapers, may be used for the spectral estimation. As an alternative to calculating the spectrum on a moving time window, nonstationary time-frequency methods may be used to estimate the energy of the signal for different frequencies at different times in one operation. Also, nonlinear techniques such as artificial neural networks (ANN) techniques may be used to learn a solution for the spectral estimation.

The signal processor 810 may generate a feature vector train, for example, a time series of spectra of LFP, from the input signals. The feature vector train may be input to a decoder 812 and operated on to predict the subject's intended movement, and from this information generate a high level control signal.

The decoder 812 may use different predictive models to predict the intended movement. These may include, for

example: probabilistic; Bayesian decode methods (such
those described in Zhang, K., Ginzburg, I., McNaughton,
B.L., and Sejnowski, T.J. (1998), Interpreting Neuronal
Population Activity by Reconstruction: Unified Framework
5 with Application to Hippocampal place cells. J
Neurophysiol 79:1017-1044); population vector models
(such as those described in Lukashin, A.V., Amirikian,
B.R., and Georgopoulos, A.P. (1996). A Simulated Actuator
Driven by Motor Cortical Signals. Neuroreport 7(15-
10 17):2597-2601); and artificial neural networks.

The decoder 812 may use a derived transformation
rule to map a measured neural signal, s , into an action,
 a , for example, a target. Statistical decision theory
may be used to derive the transformation rule. Factors
15 in the derivations may include the set of possible neural
signals, S , and the set of possible actions, A . The
neuro-motor transform, d , is a mapping for S to A . Other
factors in the derivation may include the intended target
 θ and a loss function which represents the risk
20 associated with taking an action, a , when the true
intention was θ . These variables may be stored in a
memory device, e.g., a database 814.

Two approaches may be used to derive the
transformation rule: A probabilistic approach, involving

the intermediate step of evaluating a probabilistic relation between s and θ and subsequent minimization of an expected loss to obtain a neuro-motor transformation; and a direct approach, involving direct construction of a neuro-motor transformation and minimizing the empirical loss evaluated over the training set. In terms of so called 'neural network' functions, the second approach may be regarded as defining a neural network with the neural signals as input and the target actions as output, the weights being adjusted based on training data. In both cases, a critical role is played by the loss function, which is in some sense arbitrary and reflects prior knowledge and biases of the investigator.

As described above, the measured waveform(s) may be filtered into a low frequency range of say 1-20 Hz, a mid frequency range of say 15-200 Hz, which includes the beta (15-25 Hz) and gamma (25-90 Hz) frequency bands, and a high frequency range of about 200 Hz to 1 kHz, which may include unsorted spike activity. The decoder 812 may decode an intended direction using the information in the gamma frequency band (25-90 Hz) of the LFP spectra and the SU spectra. The decoder 812 may decode logical signals using information in the gamma (25-90 Hz) and beta (15-25 Hz) frequency bands of the LFP spectra and

the SU spectra. The logical information may include a decision to execute an action, e.g., a "go" signal. The logical information may indicate that the subject is entering other states, such as cuing a location, preparing to execute an action, and scrubbing a planned action.

In an experiment, the decoder decoded a direction for a saccade and differentiated the planning and the execution periods of the saccade. Figure 12 is a polar plot of a direction decode 1200 (for one of eight directions) using the LFP at a single site in LIP. Saccade direction is shown on a circle, and the fraction correct 1202 is given by the radius of the point. The decode was based on Fisher's linear discriminant trained with ten trials to each saccade direction for a total of eighty trials. The feature space was three dimensional, given by the value of the spectrum estimated on a 500 millisecond window at frequencies in the gamma frequency band. The frequencies that gave the best decode performance were chosen. As shown in Figure 12, the LFP at a single site can predict saccades to the preferred direction 1106 and the anti-preferred direction 1208 for that site well, and all other directions better than chance 1208.

Figure 13 is a bar graph representing a decode 1200 of the planning versus execution periods of the trial using the LFP. The decoder had to determine whether the data came from the planning or execution period of the trial, regardless of saccade direction. The decoder was based on Fisher's linear discriminant trained with eighty trials from each of the two trial periods for a total of 160 samples. The feature space was four dimensional given by power in the beta and gamma frequency bands. As shown in Figure 13, the decoder predicted the period correctly in over 90% of the samples.

Once the decoder 812 maps the feature vectors from the signal processor 810 to an action, the decoder 812 may generate a high level signal indicative of the intended movement and transmit this signal to the device controller 820. The device controller 820 may use the signal to control the output device 822 to mimic the subject's intended movement. The output device may be, for example, a robotic limb, an animated limb or a pointing device on a display screen, or a functional electrical stimulation device implanted into the subject's muscles for direct stimulation and control.

The decoder 812 may need to be recalibrated over time. This may be due to inaccuracies in the initial

calibration, degradation of the implant to spike activity over time, and/or movement of the implant, among other reasons.

In an embodiment, the decoder 812 may use a feedback
5 controller 824 to monitor the response of the output device, compare it to the predicted intended movement, and recalibrate the decoder 812 accordingly. The feedback controller 824 may include a training program to update a loss function variable used by the decoder 812.

10 Some error may be corrected as the subject learns to compensate for the system response based on feedback provided by watching the response of the output device. The degree of correction due to this feedback response, and hence the amount of recalibration that must be
15 shouldered by the system 800, may depend in part on the degree of plasticity in the region of the brain where the implant 804 is positioned.

A number of embodiments have been described. Nevertheless, it will be understood that various
20 modifications may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. Accordingly, other embodiments are within the scope of the following claims.

CLAIMS:

1. A method comprising:
measuring a local field potential response from
neural activity in a subject; and
5 generating a signal indicative of an intended
movement in response to said measured local field
potential response.
2. The method of claim 1, further comprising:
cueing a subject to perform a plurality of
10 movements;
measuring a local field potential response in
response to each of said movements; and
storing the local field potential response
corresponding to each of said movements in a memory
15 device.
3. The method of claim 2, wherein generating the
signal comprises:
comparing the measured local field potential
response to a plurality of the stored local field
20 potential responses; and
determining the intended movement in response
to said comparison.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein the signal comprises an electric signal.

5. The method of claim 1, wherein the measuring is invasive.

5 6. The method of claim 1, wherein the local field potential response has a temporal structure approximately localized in time and frequency.

7. The method of claim 6, further comprising estimating the temporal structure of using spectral
10 analysis.

8. The method of claim 1, further comprising measuring a spike response having a temporal structure approximately localized in time and frequency, and wherein the signal is generated in response to the
15 measured local field potential response and the measured spike response.

9. The method of claim 1, wherein the intended movement comprises a reach.

10. The method of claim 9, wherein the reach
20 comprises a target.

11. The method of claim 9, wherein the reach comprises a trajectory.

12. The method of claim 9, wherein the intended movement comprises a grasp.

5 13. The method of claim 1, wherein the intended movement comprises a saccade.

14. The method of claim 1, wherein the intended movement comprises a head movement.

10 15. The method of claim 1, wherein the intended movement comprises a smooth pursuit eye movement.

16. The method of claim 1, wherein the intended movement comprises a leg movement.

17. The method of claim 1, wherein the intended movement comprises a go signal.

15 18. The method of claim 1, wherein the intended movement comprises a scrub signal.

19. The method of claim 1, wherein the neural activity comprises activity in the sensory motor cortex.

20. The method of claim 1, wherein the neural activity comprises activity in the parietal reach region.

21. The method of claim 1, wherein the neural activity comprises activity in the anterior intraparietal
5 cortex.

22. The method of claim 1, wherein the neural activity comprises activity in the lateral intraparietal cortex.

23. The method of claim 1, wherein the neural
10 activity comprises activity area 5 of the superior parietal lobule.

24. The method of claim 1, wherein the neural activity comprises activity in frontal cortical areas involved in movement, said area selected from the motor
15 cortex, the ventral and dorsal premotor cortex, the supplementary motor area, the supplementary eye field, the frontal eye field, the prefrontal cortex, and the pre-supplementary motor area.

25. The method of claim 1, wherein the neural
20 activity comprises activity in the ventral intraparietal cortex.

26. The method of claim 1, wherein the neural activity comprises activity in the medial superior temporal cortex.

27. The method of claim 1, further comprising
5 decoding a direction in a gamma frequency band of the local field potential response.

28. The method of claim 1, further comprising
decoding a logical signal in at least one of a gamma
frequency band and a beta frequency band of the local
10 field potential response.

29. The method of claim 28, wherein the logical signal comprises a "go" signal.

30. The method of claim 6, wherein the temporal structure is represented as a spectrogram.

15 31. An article comprising:

a machine-readable medium which stores machine-executable instructions, the instructions causing a machine to:

measure the local field potential response from
20 neural activity in a subject; and

generate a signal indicative of an intended movement in response to said measured local field potential response.

32. The article of claim 31, wherein the intended
5 movement comprises a reach.

33. An apparatus comprising:

an input device operative to receive a local field potential reading from a measuring device;

a signal processor coupled to the input device
10 and operative to generate a processed signal in response to analyzing a temporal structure of the local field potential reading; and

a decoder operative to generate a signal indicative of an intended movement in response to said
15 processed signal.

34. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the measuring device comprises an implant.

35. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the temporal structure is approximately localized in time and
20 frequency.

35. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the signal processor is operative to analyze the temporal structure using spectral analysis.

36. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the input
5 device is further operative to receive a single unit activity reading from the measuring device, and wherein the signal processor is operative to generate the measured response data structure in response to the local field potential reading and the single unit activity
10 reading.

37. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the generated signal comprises an electric signal.

38. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the intended movement comprises a reach.

15 39. The apparatus of claim 38, wherein the reach comprises a target.

40. The apparatus of claim 38, wherein the reach comprises a trajectory.

41. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the intended
20 movement comprises a saccade.

42. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the intended movement comprises a head movement.

43. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the intended movement comprises a smooth pursuit eye movement.

5 44. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the intended movement comprises a leg movement.

45. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the intended movement comprises a go signal.

10 46. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the intended movement comprises a scrub signal.

47. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the decoder is operative to decode a direction from a gamma frequency band of the local field potential reading.

15 48. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the decoder is operative to decode a logical signal from at least one of a gamma frequency band and a beta frequency band of the local field potential reading.

49. A method comprising:

measuring neural activity;

analyzing a time and frequency localized
representation of the neural activity; and
generating a signal indicative of an
intended movement in response to said analysis.

5 50. The method of claim 49, wherein the neural
activity comprises measuring a local field potential.

51. The method of claim 49, wherein the neural
activity comprises unsorted spiking activity.

10 52. The method of claim 49, wherein the neural
activity comprises sorted spiking activity.

53. The method of claim 49, wherein the
measuring comprises measuring an extracellular potential.

1/10





FIG. 1




FIG. 2A




FIG. 2B

2/10




FIG. 3A




FIG. 3B

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

3/10




FIG. 4




FIG. 5A




FIG. 5B

4/10




FIG. 6A




FIG. 6B




FIG. 7A




FIG. 7B

5/10




FIG. 8

6/10




FIG. 9




FIG. 10

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

7/10




FIG. 11A

8/10




FIG. 11B

9/10




FIG. 12

10/10




FIG. 13

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US00/34235

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(7) : A61B 5/04

US CL : 600/544

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 600/372, 373, 377, 378, 544-545, 547

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched
NONEElectronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)
NONE**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5,368,041 A (SHAMBROOM) 29 November 1994, see entire document.	1-53
A	US 5,365,939 A (OCHS) 22 November 1994, see entire document.	1-53

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

*A	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*T	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
*E	earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date	*X	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
*L	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*Y	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
*O	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	*&	document member of the same patent family
*P	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		

Date of the actual completion of the international search

10 March 2001 (10.03.2001)

Date of mailing of the international search report

03 APR 2001

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

Ryan C. Carter

Telephone No. (703) 308-2990

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☒ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☒ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☒ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.